

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

## Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

## **Table of Contents**

	Page No.
Glossary of Defined Terms	<u>1</u>
Introduction	<u>3</u>
Capital Structure	<u>4</u>
Capital Adequacy Process	<u>6</u>
Credit Risk	<u>8</u>
Credit Risk Mitigation	<u>16</u>
Securitizations	<u>17</u>
Equity Securities not Subject to Market Risk Rule	<u>19</u>
Appendix:	
Cross Reference Table	<u>21</u>
Forward-Looking Statements	<u>28</u>

## **Glossary of Defined Terms**

Term	Definition
ABS	Asset-backed securities
ACL	Allowance for credit losses
AFS	Available-for-sale
Agency MBS	Mortgage-backed securities issued by a U.S. government agency or GSE
ALLL	Allowance for loan and lease losses
AOCI	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
BOLI	Bank-owned life insurance
Basel III Rules	Rules issued by the FRB, OCC and FDIC on capital adequacy and liquidity requirements in the U.S for banking organizations.
BB&T	BB&T Corporation and subsidiaries (changed to "Truist Financial Corporation" effective with the Merger)
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
ВНС	Bank holding company
ВНСА	Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended
Branch Bank	Branch Banking and Trust Company (changed to "Truist Bank" effective with the Merger)
CAP	Capital Adequacy Process
CCAR	Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review
CCP	Central clearing party
CD	Certificate of deposit
CDO	Collateralized debt obligation
CDS	Credit default swaps
CECL	Current expected credit loss
CEIO	Credit-enhancing interest-only strip
CET1	Common equity tier 1
CFPB	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
CFTC	Commodity Futures Trading Commission
CLO	Collateralized loan obligation
Company	Truist Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (interchangeable with "Truist" below)
CRA	Community Reinvestment Act of 1977
CRE	Commercial real estate
CSA	Credit support annex
CVA	Credit valuation adjustment
Dodd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
DTA	Deferred tax asset
DVA	Debit valuation adjustment
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
FFELP	Federal Family Education Loan Program
FFIEC	Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
FHC	Financial Holding Company
FINRA	Financial Industry Regulatory Authority
FRB	Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
GAAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America
GSE	U.S. government-sponsored enterprise
HTM	Held-to-maturity
HVCRE	High volatility commercial real estate
ISDA	International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.
LHFI	Loans and leases held for investment
LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
MBS	Mortgage-backed securities
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis
MDB	Multilateral development bank
Merger	Merger of BB&T and SunTrust effective December 6, 2019
morgo	morger of boat and cultitude officers of boothists of 2010

# Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

Term	Definition
MRLCC	Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee
MSR	Mortgage servicing right
NCOCB	North Carolina Office of the Commissioner of Banks
NIM	Net interest margin
NPA	Nonperforming asset
NYSE	NYSE Euronext, Inc.
OTC	Over-the-counter
Parent Company	Truist Financial Corporation, the parent company of Truist Bank and other subsidiaries
PCI	Purchased credit impaired loans
PFE	Potential future exposure
PSE	Public sector entity
Rule	Basel III Final Rule
RWA	Risk-weighted assets
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SFA	Supervisory Formula Approach
SIFMA	Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association
SPE	Special purpose entity
SunTrust	SunTrust Banks, Inc.
SSFA	Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach
Tailoring Rules	Final rules changing the applicability thresholds for regulatory capital and liquidity requirements, issued by the OCC, FRB, and FDIC, together with the final rules changing the applicability thresholds for enhanced prudential standards issued by the FRB
TDR	Troubled debt restructuring
Truist	Truist Financial Corporation and subsidiaries, formerly BB&T Corporation
Truist Bank	Truist Bank, formerly Branch Banking and Trust company
U.S.	United States of America
U.S. Treasury	United States Department of the Treasury

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

#### Introduction

Truist is a purpose-driven financial services company committed to inspire and build better lives and communities. The Company serves approximately 10 million households with leading market share in many high-growth markets in the country and offers a wide range of services including retail, small business and commercial banking; asset management; capital markets; commercial real estate; corporate and institutional banking; insurance; mortgage; payments; and wealth management. Headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, Truist is the sixth-largest commercial bank in the U.S.

Truist Bank, Truist's largest subsidiary, was chartered in 1872 and is the oldest bank headquartered in North Carolina. Truist Bank provides a wide range of banking and trust services for consumer and commercial clients in its geographic markets, including small and mid-size businesses, corporations, public agencies, local governments and individuals, through 2,958 offices (as of December 31, 2019) and its digital platform.

As a BHC, Truist is subject to regulation under the BHCA and regulation, examination and supervision by the FRB. Truist Bank, a North Carolina state-chartered commercial bank that is not a member of the Federal Reserve System, is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the NCOCB, the FDIC and the CFPB.

Truist and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, including those that engage in derivatives transactions, securities underwriting, market making, brokerage, investment advisory and insurance activities, are subject to other federal and state laws and regulations as well as supervision and examination by other federal and state regulatory agencies and other regulatory authorities, including the SEC, CFTC, FINRA and the NYSE.

#### Merger with SunTrust

Effective December 6, 2019, the Company completed its previously announced Merger with SunTrust pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of February 7, 2019 and amended as of June 14, 2019. Upon closing, each SunTrust share was exchanged for 1.295 shares of BB&T stock and SunTrust was merged with and into BB&T, with BB&T continuing as the surviving corporation. Also upon closing, SunTrust Bank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SunTrust, merged with and into Branch Bank, with Branch Bank continuing as the surviving bank. In connection with the Merger, the Company changed its name from BB&T Corporation to Truist Financial Corporation and Branch Bank changed its name to Truist Bank.

## Pillar 3 Report Overview

The Basel framework consists of a three "Pillar" approach:

- Pillar 1 establishes minimum capital requirements, defines eligible capital instruments and prescribes rules for calculating RWA.
- Pillar 2 requires banks to have an internal capital adequacy assessment process and requires that banking supervisors evaluate each bank's overall risk profile as well as its risk management and internal control processes.
- Pillar 3 encourages market discipline through disclosure requirements which allow market participants to assess the risk and capital profiles of banks.

This report provides information about Truist's capital structure, capital adequacy, risk exposures, RWA and risk management framework. It should be read in conjunction with Truist's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K and the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies – FR Y-9C for the period ended December 31, 2019. Truist's SEC filings are located on its website at <a href="ir-truist.com/sec-filings">ir-truist.com/sec-filings</a>.

The cross reference table located in the Appendix specifies where all disclosures required by the Rule are located.

The disclosures contained herein are on a consolidated basis unless otherwise noted. These disclosures have not been audited by the Company's external auditors.

#### Basis of Consolidation

The basis of consolidation used for regulatory reporting is the same as that used under GAAP. There are no entities within Truist that are deconsolidated for regulatory reporting, or whose capital is deducted.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

See "Principles of Consolidation" in "Note 1. Basis of Presentation" in Truist's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for more information on the basis of consolidation.

### **Capital Structure**

Truist and Truist Bank are subject to certain risk-based capital and leverage ratio requirements under the Rule adopted by the FRB, for Truist, and by the FDIC, for Truist Bank. These quantitative calculations are minimums, and the FRB and FDIC may determine that a banking organization, based on its size, complexity, or risk profile, must maintain a higher level of capital in order to operate in a safe and sound manner. Failure to be well capitalized or to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have an adverse material effect on Truist's operations or financial condition. Failure to be well capitalized or to meet minimum capital requirements could also result in restrictions on Truist's or Truist Bank's ability to pay dividends or otherwise distribute capital or to receive regulatory approval of applications.

As of December 31, 2019, Truist and Truist Bank qualified as well capitalized, with capital levels in excess of the minimum regulatory capital requirements.

#### **Definition of Capital**

Regulatory capital is comprised of the following elements:

- CET1 capital includes primarily common shareholders' equity subject to certain regulatory adjustments and deductions, including
  with respect to goodwill, intangible assets, certain deferred tax assets, and AOCI.
- Tier 1 capital is comprised primarily of CET1 capital, perpetual preferred stock and certain qualifying capital instruments.
- Tier 2 capital includes primarily qualifying subordinated debt and qualifying ALLL. Tier 2 capital also includes, among other things, certain trust preferred securities.

A reconciliation of total shareholders' equity to CET1 capital, Tier 1 capital, Tier 2 capital and Total capital is presented in Truist's December 31, 2019 Form FR Y-9C. Refer to the "Consolidated Balance Sheets" in Truist's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for the components of total shareholders' equity.

#### Capital in Subsidiaries

At December 31, 2019, the amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries included in regulatory capital was not material.

#### **RWA**

Under the Rule, Truist's and Truist Bank's assets, exposures, and certain off-balance sheet items are subject to risk weights used to determine the institutions' risk-weighted assets. These risk-weighted assets are used to calculate the required minimum capital ratios for Truist and Truist Bank. See the "Capital Ratios" section herein for further discussion of the capital ratio components.

The RWA calculation is used in determining the institution's capital requirement. RWA under the standardized approach are generally based on supervisory risk weightings that vary by counterparty type and asset class. The predefined risk weight classifications generally range from 0% for U.S. government securities to 600% for certain equity exposures, with a maximum risk weight classification of 1,250% for certain securitization exposures.

#### Market Risk Rule

In addition, certain large banking organizations with trading assets and liabilities above certain thresholds, including Truist, are subject to the Market Risk Rule and must adjust their risk-based capital ratios to reflect the market risk of their trading activities. Refer to the "Market Risk" section in the MD&A of the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for additional disclosures related to market risk management.

## Components of RWA

The following table presents Truist's RWA by exposure type at December 31, 2019:

Table 3-1
Basel III Standardized Transitional Approach RWA

(dollars in millions)	December 31, 2019
Credit risk (1):	
Corporate and consumer exposures (2)	\$ 289,435
Exposure to residential mortgage loans	47,997
Equity exposures	12,607
Exposure to GSEs	6,374
Exposure to PSEs	4,681
Exposure to HVCRE loans	3,149
Exposure to OTC derivatives	3,277
Securitization exposures	1,923
Exposure to statutory multifamily mortgage	720
Exposure to past due loans	1,068
Exposure to sovereign entities	2,223
Exposure to depository institutions, foreign banks and credit unions	800
Unsettled transactions	47
Cleared transactions	 39
Total standardized credit risk	374,340
Total standardized market risk	 1,716
Total standardized RWA	\$ 376,056

<sup>(1)</sup> Truist does not have any exposures to supranational entities and MDBs or default fund contributions.

See the Company's December 31, 2019 Y-9C, Schedule HC-R Part I and Part II, on the FFIEC website for disclosures required by Basel III related to the following:

- Total standardized RWA by exposure type, including the related on- and off-balance sheet exposure;
- Standardized market RWA as calculated under the Market Risk Rule. Additional details are also available in the FFIEC 102 report on the FFIEC's website; and
- CET1, Tier 1 capital, and Total risk-based capital components and related calculations.

#### **Capital Ratios**

Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated pursuant to regulatory directives. Truist's capital amounts and classification also are subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Truist is in full compliance with these requirements. Banking regulations also identify five capital categories for insured depository institutions: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. At December 31, 2019 and 2018, Truist and Truist Bank were classified as "well-capitalized," and management believes that no events or changes have occurred subsequent to year end that would change this designation.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require Truist to maintain minimum ratios of CET1 ratio of 4.5%, Tier 1 capital ratio of 6%, Total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 8%, and of Tier 1 capital to average tangible assets (leverage ratio) of 4%.

## Capital conservation buffer

Truist and Truist Bank are also subject to a 2.5% capital conservation buffer. The capital conservation buffer is an amount above the minimum levels designed to ensure that banks remain well-capitalized, even in adverse economic scenarios.

<sup>(2)</sup> Corporate and consumer exposures also includes other assets.

For certain large banking organizations, the capital conservation buffer could be supplemented by a countercyclical capital buffer of up to an additional 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. This buffer is currently set at zero. An FRB policy statement establishes the framework and factors the FRB would use in setting and adjusting the amount of the countercyclical capital buffer. Covered banking organizations would generally have 12 months after the announcement of any increase in the countercyclical capital buffer to meet the increased buffer requirement, unless the FRB determines to establish an earlier effective date. If the full countercyclical buffer amount is implemented, Truist and Truist Bank would be required to maintain a CET1 capital ratio of at least 9.5%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of at least 11%, and a Total capital ratio of at least 13% to avoid limitations on capital distributions and certain discretionary incentive compensation payments. See additional discussion related to the capital conservation buffer in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

The minimum, well capitalized and capital conservation buffer ratio requirements at December 31, 2019 are as follows:

		Well Ca	Minimum Capital Plus	
	Minimum Capital	Truist	Truist Bank	Capital Conservation Buffer
CET1	4.5 %	NA	6.5 %	7.0 %
Tier 1 capital	6.0	6.0	8.0	8.5
Total capital	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.5
Leverage ratio (1)	4.0	NA	5.0	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Leverage ratio is equal to the ratio of Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets (net of goodwill, certain other intangible assets, and certain other deductions).

The following table presents regulatory capital and risk-based capital ratios for Truist and Truist Bank at December 31, 2019:

Table 3-2 Capital Ratios December 31, 2019

(dollars in millions)	Ratio	Amount
Truist		
CET1	9.5 % \$	35,643
Tier 1 capital	10.8	40,743
Total capital	12.6	47,511
Leverage	14.7	40,743
Truist Bank		
CET1	10.6	38,739
Tier 1 capital	10.6	38,739
Total capital	12.0	43,984
Leverage	14.5	38,739

Other capital ratio considerations

In July 2019, the FDIC, the FRB and the OCC issued final rules that simplify the capital treatment of mortgage servicing assets, deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that an institution could not realize through net operating loss carrybacks, and investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions, as well as simplify the recognition and calculation of minority interests that are includable in regulatory capital, for non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including Truist and Truist Bank. Banking organizations may adopt these changes beginning on January 1, 2020, and must adopt these changes as of April 1, 2020. Truist has elected to implement these changes as of January 1, 2020 and anticipates an approximate 10 basis point reduction in CET1 and other risk-based capital ratios.

#### **Capital Adequacy Process**

The maintenance of appropriate levels of capital is a management priority and is monitored on a regular basis. Truist's principal goals related to the maintenance of capital are to provide adequate capital to support Truist's risk profile consistent with the Board-approved risk appetite, provide financial flexibility to support future growth and client needs, comply with relevant laws, regulations, and supervisory guidance, achieve optimal credit ratings for Truist and its subsidiaries, and provide a competitive return to shareholders.

#### Enhanced Prudential Standards and Regulatory Tailoring Rules

Truist is subject to enhanced prudential standards and early remediation requirements whereby the Company must adhere to more stringent standards than those applicable to smaller institutions, including liquidity and capital requirements, leverage limits, stress testing, resolution planning, and risk management standards.

For example, on June 14, 2018, the FRB finalized rules that establish single-counterparty credit limits for banking organizations with greater than \$250 billion in total consolidated assets. Truist will be subject to these rules over a phase-in period and will be subject to a limit of 25% of Tier 1 capital for aggregate net credit exposures to any other unaffiliated counterparty.

In October 2019, the federal bank regulatory agencies finalized the Tailoring Rules, which adjust the thresholds at which certain regulatory requirements apply to large U.S. banking organizations based on size, complexity and other risk-based factors. These rules, which took effect on December 31, 2019, establish four risk-based categories for determining applicability of enhanced prudential standards, capital and liquidity requirements for large U.S. banking organizations. Truist meets the criteria to be categorized as a Category III banking organization, which generally includes bank holding companies with greater than \$250 billion, but less than \$700 billion, in total consolidated assets, and less than \$75 billion in certain risk-related exposures. See the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for a discussion of requirements for Category III banking organizations.

#### Capital Planning and Stress Testing Requirements

Truist regularly performs stress testing on its capital levels and is required to periodically submit the Company's capital plans and stress testing results to the banking regulators. Management regularly monitors the capital position of Truist on both a consolidated and bank-level basis. In this regard, management's overriding policy is to maintain capital at levels that are in excess of internal capital targets, which are above the regulatory "well capitalized" minimums. Management has implemented stressed capital ratio minimum targets to evaluate whether capital ratios calculated after the effect of alternative capital actions are likely to remain above minimums specified by the FRB for the annual CCAR process. Breaches of stressed minimum targets prompt a review of the planned capital actions included in Truist's capital plan.

Truist must submit an annual capital plan to the FRB that reflects its projected financial performance under baseline and stressful macroeconomic conditions, and Truist must obtain non-objection from the FRB for capital distributions proposed in its capital plan in connection with the FRB's annual CCAR process. Truist generally may pay dividends and interest on capital securities and repurchase or retire capital securities only in accordance with a capital plan that has been reviewed by the FRB and that has received a non-objection from the FRB.

The FRB's CCAR framework and the Dodd-Frank Act stress testing framework require large BHCs such as Truist to conduct company-run stress tests and subject them to supervisory stress tests conducted by the FRB. Among other things, the company-run stress tests employ stress scenarios provided by the FRB and incorporate the Dodd-Frank Act capital actions, which are intended to normalize capital distributions across large U.S. BHCs. In addition, Truist is required to conduct annual stress tests using internally-developed scenarios. The FRB also conducts CCAR and Dodd-Frank Act supervisory stress tests employing stress scenarios and internal supervisory models. The results of these stress tests are used to inform the FRB's objection or non-objection to those BHC's capital plans. As a Category III banking organization, Truist will be subject to supervisory stress testing on an annual basis and company-run stress testing on a biennial basis.

Truist is required to submit its next capital plan and the results of its own stress tests to the FRB by April 6, 2020. The FRB will announce the results of its supervisory stress tests by June 30, 2020. The capital plan period starts July 1 of the following calendar year.

In addition to stress testing for Truist, the FDIC requires Truist Bank to conduct annual company-run stress tests.

#### **Proposed Stress Buffer Requirements**

On April 10, 2018, the FRB issued a proposal to create a single capital requirement by integrating its annual capital planning and stress testing requirements with certain ongoing regulatory capital requirements. The proposal, which would apply to certain bank holding companies, including Truist, would introduce a stress capital buffer and a stress leverage buffer, or stress buffer requirements, and related changes to the capital planning and stress testing processes. For risk-based capital requirements, the stress capital buffer would replace the existing capital conservation buffer, which is 2.5% as of January 1, 2019. The stress capital buffer would equal the greater of (i) the maximum decline in Truist's CET1 capital ratio under the severely adverse scenario over the supervisory stress test measurement period, plus the sum of the ratios of the dollar amount of its planned common stock dividends to its projected risk-weighted assets for each of the

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

fourth through seventh quarters of the supervisory stress test projection period, or (ii) 2.5%. For the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio, the stressed leveraged buffer would equal the maximum decline in Truist's Tier 1 Leverage Ratio under the severely adverse scenario over the supervisory stress test measurement period, plus the sum of the ratios of the dollar amount of its planned common stock dividends to its projected total leverage assets for each of the fourth through seventh quarters of the supervisory stress test projection period.

The proposal would make related changes to capital planning and stress testing processes for BHCs subject to the stress buffer requirements. In particular, the proposal would remove the 30% dividend payout ratio that has been used as a threshold for heightened supervisory scrutiny and would assume that BHCs maintain a constant level of assets and risk-weighted assets throughout the supervisory stress test projection period.

For additional information refer to "Item 1. Business" section and the "Capital" MD&A section in Truist's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

### Risk Management

Truist maintains a comprehensive risk management framework supported by people, processes and systems to identify, measure, monitor, manage and report significant risks arising from its exposures and business activities. Effective risk management involves appropriately managing risk to optimize risk and return, operate in a safe and sound manner, and is designed to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The Company's risk management framework is designed to ensure that business strategies and objectives are executed in alignment with its risk appetite.

Truist is committed to fostering a culture that supports transparency and escalation of risks across the organization. All teammates are responsible for upholding the Company's purpose, mission, and values, and are encouraged to speak up if there is any activity or behavior that is inconsistent with the Company's culture. The Truist code of ethics guides and unites the Company's decision making and informs teammates on how to act in the absence of specific guidance.

Capital, liquidity and resolution and recovery planning are overseen by various oversight committees. Regular reporting is provided to the Board of Directors and Executive Leadership on the assessments of risk, stress test results and governance of the models and tools used for these processes including CAP.

The Board of Directors meets regularly to provide oversight regarding the management, objectives and goals of the organization with regard to capital adequacy. Stress testing methodologies ensure the information provided captures forecasted losses for all material elements of the balance sheet and income statement. These results are provided for the baseline and stress scenarios, enabling the Board of Directors and risk committees to review expected performance against capital goals, targets, and risk appetite.

Refer to the "Risk Management" and "Capital" sections of the MD&A in Truist's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for more information regarding the Company's risk management framework and CAP.

#### Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk to current or anticipated earnings or capital arising from the default, inability or unwillingness of a borrower, obligor, or counterparty to meet the terms of any financial obligation to Truist or otherwise perform as agreed. Credit risk exists in all activities where success depends on the performance of a borrower, obligor, or counterparty. Credit risk arises when Truist funds are extended, committed, invested, or otherwise exposed through actual or implied contractual agreements, whether on or off-balance sheet. Credit risk increases when the credit quality of an issuer whose securities or other instruments the bank holds deteriorates.

Truist has established the following general practices to manage credit risk:

- limiting the amount of credit that individual lenders may extend to a borrower;
- establishing a process for credit approval accountability;
- careful initial underwriting and analysis of borrower, transaction, market and collateral risks;
- ongoing servicing and monitoring of individual loans and lending relationships;
- · continuous monitoring of the portfolio, market dynamics and the economy; and
- periodically reevaluating the Company's strategy and overall exposure as economic, market and other relevant conditions change.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

Truist regularly monitors various segments of its credit portfolios to assess potential concentration risks. Management is actively involved in the credit approval and review process, and risk acceptance criteria are adjusted as needed to reflect the Company's risk appetite. Consistent with established risk management objectives, the Company utilizes various risk mitigation techniques, including collecting collateral and security interests, obtaining guarantees, and, to a limited extent, through the purchase of credit loss protection via third party insurance and/or use of credit derivatives such as CDS.

The Company categorizes its loan portfolio into four segments: commercial lending, consumer lending, credit card and PCI. The Company further disaggregates its commercial and consumer loans into various classes based on their underlying risk characteristics.

In the commercial portfolio, risk concentrations are evaluated regularly on both an aggregate portfolio level and on an individual customer basis. The Company manages its commercial exposure through portfolio targets, limits and transactional risk acceptance criteria as well as other techniques, including but not limited to, loan syndications/participations, loan sales, collateral, structure, covenants, and other risk-reduction techniques. The accompanying disclosures are presented net of participations sold.

In the consumer portfolio, concentrations are evaluated based on purpose, geographic location, and risk characteristics such as credit rating, loan-to-value ratio, and term, with a focus on trends and concentrations at the portfolio level where potential risk concentrations can be remedied through changes in underwriting policies and portfolio guidelines.

Additional disclosures related to the Company's credit exposures and credit risk policies are included in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

#### Maturities

The following tables provide the remaining maturity distribution by category for loans and leases and contractual commitments to extend credit. The contractual amounts of commitments to extend credit represent the maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of default by the borrower if the borrower were to fully draw against the commitment. The Company manages this credit risk using the same credit policies it applies to loans. Management assesses the borrower's credit worthiness to determine the necessary collateral, which may include marketable securities, receivables, inventory, equipment and real estate. Refer to the Lending Activities section of the MD&A in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for further details.

Table 5-1
Carrying Amount of Loans and Leases by Maturity and Exposure Type
December 31, 2019

(Dollars in millions)	On	e Year and Less	11	o 5 Years	Aft	er 5 Years	Total
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$	22,584	\$	70,692	\$	36,904	\$ 130,180
CRE		2,981		14,856		8,995	26,832
Commercial construction		1,883		3,736		586	6,205
Lease financing		266		3,196		2,660	6,122
Retail:							
Residential mortgage		41		547		51,483	52,071
Residential home equity and direct		1,604		9,066		16,374	27,044
Indirect auto		353		12,833		11,256	24,442
Indirect other		163		4,479		6,458	11,100
Student (1)		_		_		6,743	6,743
Credit card		5,619		_		_	5,619
PCI (1)		77		144		3,263	3,484
Total		35,571		119,549		144,722	299,842

<sup>(1)</sup> Student loans, including \$1.0 billion of PCI student loans, are presented with loans with maturities of five years or more.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

The ending and average contract (notional) amounts of unfunded commitments to extend credit and letters of credit, excluding those commitments considered derivatives, are shown below. Average amounts are based upon the simple average of the current and prior quarter ending balances. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The maturities of residential mortgage loan commitments are based upon the expected maturities of the loans upon funding.

Table 5-2
Unfunded Commitments Maturity by Exposure Type
December 31, 2019

(Dollars in millions)	One	Year and Less	11	to 5 Years	Aft	er 5 Years	Total (1)	Average Balance
Commercial:								
Commercial and industrial	\$	19,864	\$	84,436	\$	3,273	\$ 107,573	\$ 58,503
CRE		307		1,190		274	1,771	1,194
Commercial construction		1,160		5,454		936	7,550	6,184
Lease financing		164		94		_	258	316
Retail:								
Residential mortgage		2		_		2,445	2,447	1,313
Residential home equity and direct		3,561		5,844		20,266	29,671	20,009
Indirect auto		_		_		_	_	_
Indirect other		_		98		363	461	125
Student		_		_		_	_	_
Credit card		23,746		_		_	23,746	15,642
PCI		6		19		11	36	38
Total (2)	\$	48,810	\$	97,135	\$	27,568	\$ 173,513	\$ 103,324
Letters of credit:								
Standby	\$	1,589	\$	3,072	\$	87	\$ 4,748	\$ 2,854
Commercial		12		25		8	45	21

<sup>(1)</sup> Approximately \$50.6 billion of the total commitments are unconditionally cancellable for the purposes of calculating regulatory capital.

#### Geographic Disclosures

For the geographic disclosures contained herein, amounts are assigned to a state based on the physical billing address of the client.

The following tables provide the geographical distribution of commercial and consumer exposures. The credit exposure includes loans and contractual commitments to extend credit. PCI loans totaling \$3.5 billion have been excluded from these tables. Commercial loans that are 90 days or more past due and accruing interest are immaterial.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes \$4.1 billion of unfunded commitments and \$388 million of standby letters of credit that have been conveyed to others.

Table 5-3 Commercial Credit Exposure by Geography December 31, 2019

			DC	CCITIBC	. 01, 2	.017		Individually	Eval	luated for Imp	airm	ent		
				89 Days			An	nount without	- Unfunded					
(Dollars in millions)		LHFI	Pa	st Due	Nonpe	rforming		an ALLL		an ALLL		ALLL	Co	mmitments
Commercial and industrial:	•	47.000	Φ.	_	•	0.4	Φ.	40	•	40	•	0	•	0.057
Florida	\$	17,080	\$	7	\$	24	\$	16	\$	19	\$	2	\$	9,857
North Carolina		14,015		13		34		18		30		4		7,768
Georgia		12,640		11		13		9		10		1		8,569
Texas		11,456		4		41		32		18		2		12,110
Virginia		10,773		5		19		2		32		3		8,138
Pennsylvania		6,510		9		32		24		19		2		4,228
Maryland		6,488		2		8		3		10		1		4,305
California		5,643		2		1		_		1		_		7,887
New York		5,290		2		2		1		1		_		5,277
Other	_	40,285		39		38		19		27		5		39,434
Total commercial and industrial	<u>\$</u>	130,180	\$	94	\$	212	\$	124	\$	167	\$	20	\$	107,573
CRE:	•				•									211
Florida	\$	3,745	\$	1	\$	2	\$	1	\$	1	\$	_	\$	244
North Carolina		3,529		2		2		_		15		1		204
Georgia		2,985		1		_		_		1		_		125
Virginia		1,816		_		1		_		2		_		86
Maryland		1,753		_		1		1		_				185
Pennsylvania		1,654		_		2		1		3		_		51
California		1,540		_				_		_		_		141
New York		1,486		_		_		_		_		_		196
Texas		1,112		_		_		_		_		_		42
South Carolina		951		_		_		_		1		_		16
Other		6,261		1		2				3		1		481
Total CRE	\$	26,832	\$	5	\$	10	\$	3	\$	26	\$	2	\$	1,771
Commercial construction:														
North Carolina	\$	1,222	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2	\$	_	\$	1,607
Florida		1,131		_		_		_		_		_		1,132
Georgia		1,060		_		_		_		_		_		1,264
Texas		380		_		_		_		_		_		738
Virginia		306		_		_		_		_		_		235
Ohio		276		_		_		_		_		_		156
New York		260		_		_		_		35		7		204
Pennsylvania		254		_		_		_		_		_		276
Maryland		250		_		_		_		_		_		291
South Carolina		230		_		_		_		_		_		346
Other		836		1		0		0		1		0		1,301
<b>Total Commercial construction</b>	\$	6,205	\$	1	\$	_	\$	_	\$	38	\$	7	\$	7,550
Lease financing:														
Florida		719		_		_		_		_		_		25
California		570		_		_		_		_		_		9
Texas		469		_		7		7		_		_		35
Virginia		460		_		_		_		_		_		2
New Jersey		296		_		_		_		_		_		4
North Carolina		282		_		_		_		_		_		17
Michigan		249		_		_		_		_		_		3
Georgia		244		_		_		_		_		_		4
Maryland		219		_		_		_		_		_		11
Ohio		208		_		_		_		_		_		3
Other		2,406		2		1		_		2		_		145
Total lease financing	\$	6,122	\$	2	\$	8	\$		\$	2	\$	_	\$	258
Total commercial	\$	169,339		102		230		134		233	\$	29	\$	117,152

Table 5-4 Consumer Credit Exposure by Geography December 31, 2019

				Acc	ruing					Individually	/ Eva	luated for	Impai	irment		
(Dollars in millions)		LHFI		89 Days		or More ys Past Due	Ne	onperforming		Amount thout an ALLL	٧	mount Vith an ALLL		elated		Unfunded ommitments
Residential mortgage:								· •								
Florida	\$	9,052	\$	68	\$	58	\$	4	\$	11	\$	81	\$	6	\$	333
North Carolina		6,931		79		65		13		15		76		6		314
Virginia		6,450		66		50		6		13		65		5		216
Maryland		5,546		41		57		7		12		70		6		180
Georgia		5,531		46		52		4		11		44		4		176
South Carolina		2,785		25		18		3		5		24		2		108
California		2,599		17		4		1		_		2		_		178
Texas		2,440		18		25		2		_		19		1		182
Tennessee		1,374		12		11		_		2		9		1		56
District of Columbia		1,289		2		4		1		_		3		1		37
Other		8,074		124		199		14		23		134		10		667
Total residential mortgage	\$	52,071	\$	498	\$	543	\$	55	\$	92	\$	527	\$	42	\$	2,447
Residential home equity and direct:		02,011	т		т		т_		т_		т	•=:	т_		т	=,
Florida	\$	5,370	\$	21	\$	1	\$	8	\$	5	\$	4	\$	1	\$	6,245
North Carolina	Ψ	3,482	Ψ	15	Ψ	1	Ψ	11	Ψ	3	Ψ	7	Ψ	1	Ψ	5,697
Virginia		3,435		13		1		6		2		5		1		5,232
Georgia		2,780		10		1		3		1		3				2,955
Pennsylvania		1,817		12		1		14		6		1				1,803
Maryland		1,670		11		1		6		2		3		_		2,146
Tennessee		1,168		4		'		2				1				1,490
South Carolina		1,116		4		_		2		_		5		1		1,490
Texas		1,014		5				2				1		ı		448
		•				_		1				I		_		
California		725		3		_		· ·		_		_		_		126
Other	_	4,467		24		3		12	Φ.	5	Φ.	7		1		1,878
Total residential home equity	\$	27,044	\$	122	\$	9	\$	67	\$	24	\$	37	\$	5	\$	29,671
Indirect auto:	•	4.004	Φ.	00	Φ.	4	•	45	Φ.	_	Φ.	0.5	Φ.	40	Φ.	
Texas	\$	4,024	\$	98	\$	1	\$	15	\$	2	\$	65	\$	10	\$	_
Florida		3,004		61		1		11		1		42		7		_
North Carolina		2,711		51		3		7		1		22		4		
Georgia		2,023		32		1		8		1		13		3		_
Virginia		1,582		22		1		3		_		13		3		
Maryland		1,318		22		1		3		_		13		2		_
Pennsylvania		918		26		1		4				13		2		
South Carolina		869		16		_		3		_		5		1		_
Alabama		860		16		_		4				8		3		
Tennessee		787		10		_		2		_		4		1		_
Other	_	6,346		206		2		40		4		151		28		
Total indirect auto	\$	24,442	\$	560	\$	11	\$	100	\$	9	\$	349	\$	64	\$	_
Indirect other:																
Texas	\$	1,257	\$	13	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	42
Florida		1,244		8		_		_		_		1		_		30
California		835		7		_		_		_		_		_		43
North Carolina		506		3		_		_		_		_		_		14
New York		491		4		_		_		_		_		_		28
Pennsylvania		441		2		_		_		_		_		_		23
Georgia		398		3		_		_		_		_		_		13
Ohio		340		2		_		_		_		_		_		17
Virginia		323		2		_		_		_		_		_		16
Maryland		310		1		_		_		_		_		_		14
Other		4,955		40		2		2		_		4		1		221
Total indirect other	\$	11,100	\$	85	\$	2	\$	2	\$		\$	5	\$	1	\$	461
. 5.6 50. 50.101	<del></del>	, 100	4		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		<u> </u>	

Table 5-4
Consumer Credit Exposure by Geography
December 31, 2019

			_	Acc	ruing				_	Individually				
(Dollars in millions)	n millions) LH			89 Days ast Due		or More lys Past Due	N	onperforming		Amount ithout an ALLL	W	nount ith an ALLL	elated ALLL	Unfunded ommitments
Student:														
Pennsylvania	\$	592	\$	59	\$	15	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _
New York		552		54		13		_		_		_	_	_
Texas		532		50		12		_		_		_	_	_
California		492		37		12		_		_		_	_	_
Florida		409		33		10		_		_		_	_	_
Ohio		384		37		20		_		_		_	_	_
Georgia		286		31		10		_		_		_	_	_
Illinois		261		25		6		_		_		_	_	_
Missouri		190		32		5		_		_		_	_	_
North Carolina		190		19		5		_		_		_	_	_
Other		2,855		273		80		_		_		_	_	_
Total student	\$	6,743	\$	650	\$	188	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _
Total consumer	\$	121,400	\$	1,915	\$	753	\$	224	\$	125	\$	918	\$ 112	\$ 32,579

Table 5-5 Credit Card Exposure by Geography December 31, 2019

				Acc	ruin	ıg	In-	dividually l Impa	Evaluated irment			
December 31, 2019 (Dollars in millions)		LHFI		30-89 Days Past Due		00 or More Days Past Due	Amount With an ALLL			Related ALLL		Unfunded commitments
Florida	\$	1,108	\$	13	\$	5	\$	3	\$	1	\$	5,058
North Carolina		1,108		9		3		8		3		4,561
Virginia		897		7		3		6		2		3,998
Georgia		661		7		2		3		1		2,601
Maryland		352		3		1		2		1		1,485
Other		1,493		17		8		9		4		6,043
Total credit card	\$	5,619	\$	56	\$	22	\$	31	\$	12	\$	23,746

There are no nonperforming credit card loans as they are charged-off rather than being reclassified as nonperforming and there are no impaired credit card loans without an ALLL.

#### AFS Investment Securities

Truist invests in securities allowable under bank regulations. These securities may include obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. government agencies, GSEs (including MBS), bank eligible obligations of any state or political subdivision, non-agency MBS, structured notes, bank eligible corporate obligations (including corporate debentures), commercial paper, negotiable CDs, bankers acceptances, mutual funds and limited types of equity securities. The most important feature management relies on when assessing credit risk for U.S. Treasury securities and Agency MBS is the guarantee of the Federal government or its agencies.

U.S. Treasury, GSE and Agency MBS represented 98.7% of the total securities portfolio as of December 31, 2019.

#### **Industry Disclosures**

The following tables provide industry distribution by major types of commercial credit exposure. The credit exposure includes loans and contractual commitments to extend credit. Industry classification for commercial and industrial loans is based on the North American Industry Classification System. Commercial real estate loans are classified based on type of property. Consumer credit exposures, credit card exposures, and PCI exposures have been excluded from these tables.

Table 5-6 Commercial Credit Exposure by Industry December 31, 2019

		December 31, 2019		Individually Evaluated for Impairment										
(Dollars in millions)		LHFI		89 Days	Non	performing	wit	mount hout an ALLL	W	mount /ith an ALLL		Related ALLL		Jnfunded mmitments
Commercial and industrial:		<u> </u>		ast Duc	14011	periorining		ALLL		ALLE		ALLL		minuncito
Finance and insurance	\$	12,845	\$	26	\$	7	\$	7	\$	_	\$	_	\$	15,014
Manufacturing	·	12,716		4	·	4		2		4		1		18,736
Retail trade		11,460		2		21		20		2		_		9,036
Health care and social assistance		8,859		1		6		7		12		1		4,278
Real estate and rental and leasing		7,265		2		4		1		3		1		7,188
Wholesale trade		6,708		1		30		22		17		2		8,295
Public administration		6,657		6		_		_		_		_		798
Transportation and warehousing		5,151		3		7		1		6		1		5,766
Information		4,204		_		(1)		(1)		_		_		5,789
Professional, scientific, and technical services		4,012		3		5		4		3		_		5,787
Other		26,241		30		62		43		44		5		24,109
Subtotal		106,118		78		145		106		91		11		104,796
Business owner occupied		24,062		16		67		18		76		9		2,777
Total commercial and industrial	\$	130,180	\$	94	\$	212	\$	124	\$	167	\$	20	\$	107,573
CRE:		,	Ψ	<u> </u>	Ψ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	,
Office		6,906		_		2		_		4		_		502
Retail		6,302		1		1		_		3		_		382
Multi-family		5,149		1		2		1		2		_		207
Industrial		3,867		_		1		_		3		_		461
Hotel/motel		3,510		_		_		_		9		_		125
Other		1,098		3		4		2		5		2		94
Total CRE	\$	26,832	\$	5	\$	10	\$	3	\$	26	\$	2	\$	1,771
Commercial construction:			Ψ		Ψ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	.,
Multi-family	\$	2,017	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	3,367
Single family residential - construction	7	1,641	Ψ	_	Ŧ	_	7	_	<b>Y</b>	3	<b>T</b>	_	Ψ	2,211
Industrial		756		_		_		_		_		_		569
Retail		704		_		_		_		_		_		308
Office		582		_		_		_		_		_		461
Other		505		1		_		_		35		7		634
Total commercial construction	\$	6,205	\$	1	\$	_	\$		\$	38	\$	7	\$	7,550
Lease financing:		0,200	Ψ		Ψ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	.,000
Manufacturing	\$	994	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	34
Transportation and warehousing	Ψ	823	Ψ	_	Ψ	7	Ψ	7	Ψ	1	Ψ	_	Ψ	26
Information		696		_				_		_		_		2
Public administration		656		_						_				
Real estate and rental and leasing		563		_		_		_		_		_		7
Other		2,390		2		1				1		_		189
Total lease financing	\$	6,122	\$	2	\$	8	\$	7	\$	2	\$	_	\$	258
Total commercial		169,339	\$	102		230	\$		\$	233	\$	29	\$	117,152

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

#### Counterparty Credit Risk-Related Exposures

Counterparty credit risk is the risk that a counterparty to a transaction with the Company fails to perform. This risk is a byproduct of transactions undertaken by the Company to facilitate a client's financing and hedging needs and can also result from the Company's normal balance sheet management, risk management, and funding activities. Counterparty risk is a category of credit risk often associated with capital markets activities, including OTC derivatives and securities financing.

As a dealer and market maker, the Company uses OTC derivatives primarily to support client hedging and risk management activities, as well as in an end-user capacity to manage its own balance sheet risk exposures. As a financial entity, certain interest rate swaps and CDS transactions entered into by the Company or its subsidiaries are subject to mandatory clearing. At December 31, 2019, the Company had \$450 million in notional amount of purchased OTC credit derivatives related to management of its own balance sheet risk exposures. Additionally, the Company had \$4.0 billion of purchased notional and \$5.3 billion of sold notional OTC credit derivatives to support client hedging and risk management activities. Additional details of the Company's use of derivatives are included in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

The securities financing market encompasses both repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, as well as securities lending/borrowing transactions. These transactions are structured such that borrowers post collateral in exchange for the ability to borrow cash or securities. Securities financing transactions enable cost-effective borrowing for clients and the Company and facilitate a variety of market making activities. Truist's securities financing transactions are subject to the same risk management procedures, and applicable RWA calculations consider eligible collateral and the counterparty to the underlying transaction.

Counterparty credit risk management is integrated into the Company's credit risk management function. For transactions that generate meaningful counterparty credit risk, credit officers first perform a credit underwriting of the counterparty and assign an internal risk rating, before finally determining an aggregate credit exposure limit. Furthermore, if multiple underlying products and risk exposures are involved, then separate limits are assigned for each product with the counterparty. The counterparty exposure arising from OTC derivatives and securities lending transactions is aggregated with all other borrower exposures for risk management purposes.

In addition to counterparty selection and monitoring, documentation and collateral management are central to the Company's counterparty risk management efforts. Transactions not subject to central clearing are typically executed under master netting agreements. These documents provide a variety of legal protections, most notably the ability to close out all trades under that agreement on a net basis in the event of a counterparty default. The Company's legal department chairs a committee that reviews master netting agreements to confirm the enforceability of netting and collateral arrangements and generally obtains third party legal opinions regarding enforceability.

The regulatory requirement to centrally clear eligible derivative transactions with eligible CCPs has reduced the Company's counterparty credit exposure to dealers; however it increased its exposure to CCPs. The Company manages its exposure to CCPs using the same risk management practices as used for other counterparties and in accordance with supervisory guidance.

For further information on counterparty credit risk, refer to "Risk Management" in the MD&A, "Note 1. Basis of Presentation", and "Note 19. Derivative Financial Instruments" in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

## OTC derivatives

The values of OTC derivatives are based on the movement in one or more underlying variables (e.g., interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, etc.). For internal risk management purposes, the Company establishes credit limits based on a measure of PFE, a statistical measure (at a high confidence interval) of the amount that a counterparty could owe the Company at some future point in time, taking into account collateral requirements and legally enforceable netting arrangements. The PFE, current credit exposure or mark-to-market, and collateral values, if applicable, are refreshed daily and used to calculate total counterparty credit exposure, which is compared against pre-established limits. The Company has an established limit exception management process in place which identifies, escalates, remediates, and documents any risk exposures that may exceed limits. As a bank subject to the standardized approach, RWA for OTC derivatives is determined using the methodology prescribed in the Rule for calculating PFE, and as such, the Company does not use its internal model generated PFE for that purpose.

The Company typically establishes zero threshold margin arrangements with dealers, governed under ISDA/CSA documents, such that when the fair value of a derivative changes, the out-of-the-money counterparty posts collateral to the in-the-money counterparty; collateral is generally exchanged on a daily basis. OTC derivative transactions with non-dealer clients are generally not subject to the same margin arrangements; however, they are still subject to master netting arrangements and the Company uses other available risk management techniques when necessary.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

For OTC derivative transactions subject to a CSA, the Company typically only accepts high quality, liquid collateral instruments such as cash, U.S. Treasury, or agency-issued instruments, subject to applicable haircuts, as necessary. This collateral generally qualifies as financial collateral pursuant to the Rule. Cash represents the majority of the Company's collateral positions and is typically held in the Company's account or at another financial institution. Securities collateral is held at the Company's custodian bank in the Company's name and is generally controlled by the Company. In limited circumstances collateral may be posted to an independent custodian bank for the benefit of the Company; in these circumstances, the Company does not have direct control over the collateral.

All OTC derivative transactions subject to margining requirements are monitored daily by an independent control function to ensure that collateral calls are issued and met in a timely manner. This function also ensures that any excess collateral posted by the Company to a counterparty is actively managed and withdrawn when no longer required. All collateral is valued daily. The collateral control function follows established procedures to resolve any disputes on the amount of collateral required, and an escalation procedure is in place to ensure senior management is informed of any material disputes. In a limited number of situations, the Company's CSAs contain ratings based thresholds, such that the Company would need to post additional collateral to the degree that it suffered a credit downgrade.

Derivative instruments are priced using observable market inputs at a mid-market valuation point and take into consideration appropriate valuation adjustments for collateral, market liquidity, and counterparty credit risk. For purposes of determining fair value adjustments to its derivative positions, the Company takes into consideration the credit profile and likelihood of default by counterparties and itself, as well as, its net exposure, which considers legally enforceable master netting agreements and financial collateral along with remaining maturities. The expected loss of each counterparty, the CVA, is estimated using market-based views of counterparty default probabilities observed in the single-name CDS market, when available and of sufficient liquidity. When single-name CDS market data is not available or not of sufficient liquidity, the probability of default is estimated using a combination of the Company's internal risk rating system and sector/rating based CDS data.

For purposes of estimating the Company's own credit risk on derivative liability positions, the DVA, the Company uses probabilities of default from observable, sector/rating based CDS data. Information on the Company's fair value measurements can be found in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K in Note 18, "Fair Value Disclosures."

#### Securities financing

Securities financing transactions are typically secured by high-quality, liquid collateral. The Company establishes limits on counterparties using the Basel Collateral Haircut methodology, measuring in this case the amount that the Company could lose if it were forced to close out the transaction ahead of scheduled maturity in a stressed situation. The Company may supplement its credit limits with notional limits based on the counterparty and/or the size of the financing arrangement.

Securities financing transactions provide for the regular movement of collateral so that the lender maintains an appropriate margin. The Company monitors its securities financing positions on a daily basis and calls for additional collateral as needed. The collateral received is typically held in an account with the Company's securities custodian.

#### **Credit Risk Mitigation**

The Rule allows eligible financial collateral, guarantees, and credit derivatives to be recognized in the calculation of RWA. The Company's use of credit risk mitigants in the calculation of RWA includes eligible collateral primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury or agency securities as well as cash. When financial collateral is obtained that qualifies as eligible collateral under the Rule, the eligible collateral can be substituted for the collateralized portion of the credit exposure in the RWA calculation. Similarly, when an eligible guarantee is received, the risk weight applicable to the eligible guarantor would apply to the exposure amount covered by the guarantee.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

The following table summarizes OTC derivative contracts covered by eligible collateral:

# Table 7-1 Total Exposure of OTC Derivative Contracts Covered by Eligible Collateral December 31, 2019

(dollars in millions)		Gross Current redit Exposure	Potential Future Exposure	To	otal Exposure
Interest rate	\$	1,990	\$ 595	\$	2,585
Credit Default Swaps (include TRS)		27	9		36
Commodities & Other		67	152		219
Risk Participations		174	53		227
Foreign Exchange		144	121	\$	265
Equities		1,389	814	\$	2,203
Total derivative gross credit exposure		3,791	1,744		5,535
Amounts subject to master netting arrangements	_	(1,684)	_		(1,684)
Collateral held		(499)	_		(499)
Net exposure for derivatives covered by eligible collateral		1,608	1,744		3,352

Truist has certain loans and other assets, totaling approximately \$10.7 billion, which have conditional guarantees by the U.S. government.

#### **Securitizations**

#### Overview

The Basel III framework for securitizations addresses the capital treatment for exposures that involve the tranching of credit risk and categorizes securitizations as either traditional or synthetic.

The Rule describes securitization transactions as:

- All or a portion of the credit risk of one or more underlying exposures is transferred to one or more third parties other than
  through the use of credit derivatives or guarantees;
- The credit risk associated with the underlying exposures has been separated into at least two tranches reflecting different levels
  of seniority:
- · Performance of the securitization exposures is solely dependent on the performance of the underlying exposures; and
- All or substantially all of the underlying exposures are financial exposures.

A synthetic securitization shares the same attributes as a traditional securitization, except that all or a portion of the credit risk of one or more underlying assets is retained or transferred to one or more third parties through the use of one or more credit derivatives or guarantees.

Any securitization where one or more of the underlying exposures are a securitization exposure is considered to be a resecuritization.

#### Securitization process

The Company's current exposure to securitizations includes primarily loans to SPEs (not sponsored by the Company) that are designed to meet client needs for long-term financing of assets or working capital. These securitization arrangements assist the Company's clients in funding their financial assets. The Company also has an AFS investment in a Re-Remic trust that primarily holds non-agency MBS and is considered a resecuritization for purposes of the risk-based capital rules. Exposure amounts at December 31, 2019 are provided below in tables 8-1 and 8-2.

The Company also originates and sells certain commercial mortgage loans to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, originates FHA insured loans, and issues and sells Ginnie Mae commercial MBS backed by FHA insured loans. The Company transfers commercial loans to securitization entities sponsored by these agencies. The loans are exchanged for cash or securities that are readily redeemable for cash, with servicing rights retained. The Company has made certain representations and warranties with respect to the transfer of these loans and has entered into a loss share guarantee related to certain loans transferred to Fannie Mae.

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

At December 31, 2019, the Company held \$29 million in insured loans that it intended to securitize with Ginnie Mae. The Company periodically evaluates securitizations as a source of alternate financing; however, it does not expect securitization to comprise a significant amount of total funding.

#### Due diligence

The Company analyzes the credit profile of each securitization exposure prior to entering into that position, and documents such due diligence within the timeframe required under the Rule. The due diligence procedures are designed to provide the Company with a comprehensive understanding of the features that would materially affect the performance of its exposures.

The Company's due diligence procedures include analyzing and monitoring:

- Information regarding the performance of the underlying credit exposures and relevant market data;
- · Structural and other enhancement features that may affect the credit quality of a securitization; and
- Credit profile of the seller/servicer of the assets securitized.

The level of detail included in the due diligence procedures is commensurate with the complexity of each securitization position held. In addition to pre-trade due diligence, the due diligence procedures are also performed on a periodic basis for each securitization position.

#### Risks

Securitization transactions involve a number of risks including credit risk and seller/servicer risk. Credit risk arises where the underlying assets fail to perform (i.e., payment rates, dilution, write-offs/losses), such that the credit enhancement is insufficient to protect the Company's investment. Seller/servicer risk represents the reliance on the seller and/or servicer of the assets to perform its duties under the securitization agreement and make certain representations and warranties as to the underlying collateral. The risks in the securitization loan portfolio are monitored monthly by comparing performance of assets to the structural requirements. The Company manages these risks (both pre and post commencement of a position) as part of its comprehensive risk management framework, which is described in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K.

#### Risk-based capital approach

The Basel III standardized approach requires the application of the SSFA or, if not subject to the Market Risk Rule, the gross-up approach for calculating RWA for securitization exposures. The Company is subject to the Market Risk Rule and, therefore, applies the SSFA to its securitization exposures. A risk weight of 1,250% must be applied to a securitization exposure where the Company does not apply the SSFA.

The SSFA requires the following inputs to calculate regulatory capital:

- Attachment Point: the point at which collateral losses from underlying assets backing a securitization tranche will first be applied to the tranche in the form of principal write-downs;
- Detachment Point: the point at which the tranche will be completely written-down as a result of losses from the collateral backing the tranche;
- Weighted Average Capital: the weighted average capital charge for the assets in the securitization;
- Seriously Delinquent: the percentage of underlying collateral that is seriously delinquent (e.g., 90+ days past due, in foreclosure, in bankruptcy); and
- Calibration Parameter: a parameter that increases the riskiness of a tranche for re-securitizations.

The risk-based capital requirement under the SSFA is the exposure amount (including any accrued interest receivable on the exposure) multiplied by the higher of either the calculated risk weight, determined by the inputs listed above, or a 20 percent risk weight.

## Risk-weighted assets

The following table presents securitization exposures and their applicable risk weighting as of December 31, 2019. The amount of exposures that were past due and impaired on December 31, 2019 were immaterial, and no losses were incurred on the exposures during the year ended December 31, 2019.

Table 8-1
Total Securitizations by Risk Weight Category
December 31, 2019

(dollars in millions)

	Е	xposure			
Exposure Type	ı	Amount	RWA	RWA %	RWA Method
LHFI	\$	3,823	\$ 821	21	SSFA
Unfunded commitment related to LHFI		2,391	531	22	SSFA
Securities AFS					
Securitization		30	6	20	SSFA
Re-securitization		190	446	235	SSFA
Other off-balance sheet amount		9	113	150	1250 %
Others		2	6	300	SSFA
Total securitization	\$	6,445	\$ 1,923		

The following table presents securitization exposures and underlying collateral type as of December 31, 2019.

Table 8-2 Securitizations by Collateral Type December 31, 2019

			Securiti	zation Amount			
(dollars in millions)	On Bal	ance Sheet	Off Ba	lance Sheet		Total	RWA
Collateral Type							
Trade receivables	\$	1,027	\$	535	\$	1,562	\$ 313
Commercial and Industrial		1,059		399		1,458	292
Consumer Loans		628		425		1,053	212
Other		1,139		1,041		2,180	654
Total	\$	3,853	\$	2,400	\$	6,253	\$ 1,471
			Re-Securi	tization Amoun	t		
Collateral Type							
Residential Mortgages	\$	192	\$	0	\$	192	\$ 452

## **Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule**

The Company holds equity securities for various purposes. The Company's investments in private equity funds are generally held to realize a potential profit, equity securities in pension plans are held to reduce future pension expense, investments in affordable housing are made to generate tax credits and investments in certain trade organizations are required to realize the benefits of being a member. The Company has total equity exposures of approximately \$14.1 billion, with \$5.4 billion in individual equities and \$8.7 billion in equity funds at December 31, 2019. The majority of the individual investments are related to the Company's CRA activities, including tax-advantaged investments. The Company uses the simple risk-weight approach for its individual equity securities. The equity funds consist of BOLI, private equity, pension fund assets, money market and other equity funds. The Company uses the full look-through approach for BOLI assets in separate accounts. Investment guidelines specify objectives and constraints for separate account BOLI investment funds, including permitted and non-permitted investments, concentration and diversification requirements, credit quality requirements and duration parameters. There were no unrealized gains not recognized through earnings included in Tier 2 capital for the year ended December 31, 2019.

Non-marketable equity securities are generally recorded either at historical cost or using the equity method. Refer to "Note 1. Basis of Presentation" in the Company's December 31, 2019 Form 10-K for accounting policies related to equity investments and the valuation of financial instruments.

At December 31, 2019, the Company held approximately \$706 million of exposures in equity funds that relate to the Company's nonqualified defined contribution plan. Because there is an offsetting liability for these investments, there is no impact to earnings or equity from these investments as changes in the fair value of the investments are recorded in income with an offsetting change in personnel expense.

Latent revaluation gains/losses are unrealized gains/losses on non-public equity securities which are not recognized in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet or Consolidated Statement of Income as the equity securities are carried at cost. At December 31, 2019, latent valuation gains or losses for equity exposures were immaterial.

The following table summarizes the Company's equity securities not subject to the Market Risk Rule:

Table 9-1
Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule
December 31, 2019

(dollars in millions)	Exposure	RWA	Сар	oital impact of RWA (1)
20% risk weight	\$ 764	\$ 153	\$	12
100% risk weight	5,022	5,022		402
Full look-through approach	8,281	7,432		595
Total	\$ 14,067	\$ 12,607	\$	1,009
Public	\$ 5,319			
Nonpublic	8,748			
Total	\$ 14,067			

<sup>(1)</sup> Calculated by multiplying RWA by the minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8%.

## **Cross Reference Table**

Note: References in the following table to Form 10-K or Form FR Y-9C are to the respective Form for the period ended December 31, 2019.

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
Table 1 - Scope of Application	
Qualitative:	
(a) The name of the top corporate entity in the group to which subpart D of this part applies.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures:
(b) A brief description of the differences in the basis for consolidating entities for accounting and regulatory purposes, with a description of those entities:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Introduction
<ol> <li>(1) That are fully consolidated;</li> <li>(2) That are deconsolidated and deducted from total capital;</li> <li>(3) For which the total capital requirement is deducted; and</li> <li>(4) That are neither consolidated nor deducted (for example, where the investment in the entity is assigned a risk weight in accordance with this subpart).</li> </ol>	The Company does not have a difference in the basis of consolidation for accounting and regulatory purposes.
(c) Any restrictions, or other major impediments, on transfer of funds or total capital within the group.	Form 10-K:  Note 17. Regulatory Requirements and Other Restrictions
	Note 22. Parent Company Financial Information Item 7 - MD&A - Liquidity Item 7 - MD&A - Capital
Quantitative:	
(d) The aggregate amount of surplus capital of insurance subsidiaries included in the total capital of the consolidated group.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures:   Capital Structure
(e) The aggregate amount by which actual total capital is less than the minimum total capital requirement in all subsidiaries, with total capital requirements and the name(s) of the subsidiaries with such deficiencies.	Not applicable. Actual total capital is greater than the minimum total capital requirement.
Table 2 - Capital Structure	
Qualitative:	
(a) Summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all regulatory capital instruments.	Form 10-K: Note 11. Borrowings Note 12. Shareholders' Equity
Quantitative:	
(b) The amount of common equity tier 1 capital, with separate disclosure of:	Form FR Y-9C: Schedule HC-R
<ol> <li>(1) Common stock and related surplus;</li> <li>(2) Retained earnings;</li> <li>(3) Common equity minority interest;</li> <li>(4) AOCI; and</li> <li>(5) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to common equity tier 1 capital.</li> </ol>	Form 10-K: Consolidated Balance Sheets
(c) The amount of tier 1 capital, with separate disclosure of:  (1) Additional tier 1 capital elements, including additional tier 1 capital instruments and tier 1 minority interest not included in common equity tier 1 capital; and	Form FR Y-9C: Schedule HC-R
(2) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to tier 1 capital.	

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
(d) The amount of total capital, with separate disclosure of:	Form FR Y-9C:
(1) Tier 2 capital elements, including tier 2 capital instruments and total capital	Schedule HC-R
minority interest not included in tier 1 capital; and	
(2) Regulatory adjustments and deductions made to total capital.	
Table 3 - Capital Adequacy	
Qualitative:	I= 49.4
<ul> <li>(a) A summary discussion of the FDIC-supervised institution's approach to assessing the adequacy of its capital to support current and future activities.</li> </ul>	Form 10-K: Item 7 - MD&A - Capital
Quantitative:	<b>,</b>
(b) Risk-weighted assets for:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures:
(1) Exposures to sovereign entities;	Table 3-1
(2) Exposures to certain supranational entities and MDBs;	
(3) Exposures to depository institutions, foreign banks, and credit unions;	
(4) Exposures to PSEs;	
(5) Corporate exposures;	
(6) Residential mortgage exposures;	
(7) Statutory multifamily mortgages and pre-sold construction loans;	
(8) HVCRE loans;	
(9) Past due loans;	
(10) Other assets;	
(11) Cleared transactions;	
(11) Default fund contributions;	
(12) Default full contributions, (13) Unsettled transactions;	
(14) Securitization exposures; and	
(15) Equity exposures.	Dillow 2 Downlotow, Comital Displacement
(c) Standardized market risk-weighted assets as calculated under subpart F of this part.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 3-1
(d) Common equity tier 1, tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures:
(1) For the top consolidated group; and	Table 3-2
(2) For each depository institution subsidiary.	
(e) Total standardized risk-weighted assets.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 3-1
Table 4 - Capital Conservation Buffer	
Qualitative:	
(a) At least quarterly, the FDIC-supervised institution must calculate and publicly disclose the capital conservation buffer as described under § 324.11.	Form FR Y-9C: Schedule HC-R
(b) At least quarterly, the FDIC-supervised institution must calculate and publicly disclose the eligible retained income of the FDIC-supervised institution, as described under § 324.11.	Form FR Y-9C: Schedule HC-R
(c) At least quarterly, the FDIC-supervised institution must calculate and publicly disclose any limitations it has on distributions and discretionary bonus payments resulting from the capital conservation buffer framework described under § 324.11, including the maximum payout amount for the quarter.	Form FR Y-9C: Schedule HC-R
General Qualitative Disclosure	
For each separate risk area described in Tables 5 through 10, the FDIC-supervised institution must describe its risk management objectives and policies, including: strategies and processes; the structure and organization of the relevant risk management function; the scope and nature of risk reporting and/or measurement systems; policies for hedging and/or mitigating risk and strategies and processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges/mitigants.	See the references to the qualitative disclosures below for each respective Pillar 3 table for the location of these disclosures for each risk area. In addition, see the Governance & Responsibility section at truist.com.

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
ble 5 - Credit Risk: General Disclosures	
Qualitative:	
<ul> <li>(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk disclosed in accordance with Table 6 to § 324.63), including:</li> </ul>	Form 10-K: Note 1. Basis of Presentation - Originated loans and leases - NPAs
(1) Policy for determining past due or delinquency status;	- ACL
(2) Policy for placing loans on nonaccrual;	Item 7 - MD&A - Lending activities
(3) Policy for returning loans to accrual status;	- Risk Management
(4) Definition of and policy for identifying impaired loans (for financial accounting purposes);  (5) Description of the method elegate that the patitive uses to estimate its allowance.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk
(5) Description of the methodology that the entity uses to estimate its allowance for loan and lease losses, including statistical methods used where applicable;	
(6) Policy for charging-off uncollectible amounts; and	
(7) Discussion of the FDIC-supervised institution's credit risk management policy.	
Quantitative:	L
(b) Total credit risk exposures and average credit risk exposures, after accounting	Form 10-K:
offsets in accordance with GAAP, without taking into account the effects of credit risk mitigation techniques (for example, collateral and netting not permitted under	Consolidated Balance Sheets
GAAP), over the period categorized by major types of credit exposure. For	Note 4. Investment Securities
example, FDIC-supervised institutions could use categories similar to that used for	Note 5. Loans and ACL
financial statement purposes. Such categories might include, for instance:	Note 16. Commitments and Contingencies
	Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(1) Loans, off-balance sheet commitments, and other non-derivative off-balance	Note 19. Derivative Financial Instruments
sheet exposures;	Item 7 - MD&A - Table 12
(2) Debt securities; and	Item 7 - MD&A - Table 14
(3) OTC derivatives.	
	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 5-1 Table 5-2
(c) Geographic distribution of exposures, categorized in significant areas by major types of credit exposure.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk Table 5-3 Table 5-4 Table 5-5
(d) Industry or counterparty type distribution of exposures, categorized by major types of credit exposure.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk Table 5-6
(e) By major industry or counterparty type:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures:
<ol> <li>Amount of impaired loans for which there was a related allowance under GAAP;</li> </ol>	Credit Risk Table 5-6
(2) Amount of impaired loans for which there was no related allowance under GAAP;	
(3) Amount of loans past due 90 days and on nonaccrual;	
(4) Amount of loans past due 90 days and still accruing;	
(5) The balance in the allowance for loan and lease losses at the end of each period, disaggregated on the basis of the entity's impairment method. To disaggregate the information required on the basis of impairment methodology, an entity shall separately disclose the amounts based on the requirements in GAAP; and	Form 10-K: Note 5. Loans and ACL
(6) Charge-offs during the period.	
(f) Amount of impaired loans and, if available, the amount of past due loans categorized by significant geographic areas including, if practical, the amounts of allowances related to each geographical area, further categorized as required by GAAP.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 5-3 Table 5-4 Table 5-5

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
(g) Reconciliation of changes in ALLL.	Form 10-K:
	Note 5. Loans and ACL
(h) Remaining contractual maturity breakdown (for example, one year or less) of the whole portfolio, categorized by credit exposure.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 5-1
	Table 5-2
ble 6 - Credit Risk: General Disclosure for Counterparty Credit Risk-Related Exposu	ires
Qualitative:	T
(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to OTC derivatives, eligible margin loans, and repo-style transactions, including a discussion of:	Form 10-K:
oligible margin leane, and rope exple transactions, including a discussion of.	Note 19. Derivative Financial Instruments
	Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management
<ol><li>The methodology used to assign credit limits for counterparty credit exposures;</li></ol>	
(0) D. I	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk
<ul><li>(2) Policies for securing collateral, valuing and managing collateral, and establishing credit reserves;</li></ul>	
	Truist's exposure to margin loans and repo-style transactions is not material.
(3) The primary types of collateral taken; and	ti ansactions is not material.
(4) The impact of the amount of collateral the FDIC-supervised institution would have to provide given a deterioration in the FDIC-supervised institution's own creditworthiness.	
Quantitative:	
(b) Gross positive fair value of contracts, collateral held (including type, for example,	Form 10-K:
cash, government securities), and net unsecured credit exposure. An FDIC-supervised institution also must disclose the notional value of credit derivative hedges purchased for counterparty credit risk protection and the distribution of current credit exposure by exposure type.	Note 19. Derivative Financial Instruments
(c) Notional amount of purchased and sold credit derivatives, segregated between use for the FDIC-supervised institution's own credit portfolio and in its intermediation activities, including the distribution of the credit derivative products used, categorized further by protection bought and sold within each product group.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk
ble 7 - Credit Risk Mitigation	
Qualitative:	
(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to credit risk mitigation, including:	Form 10-K: Note 1. Basis of Presentation - Originated loans and leases
(1) Policies and processes for collateral valuation and management;	- TDŘs - NPAs
(2) A description of the main types of collateral taken by the FDIC-supervised institution;	- ACL - Commercial
(3) The main types of guarantors/credit derivative counterparties and their creditworthiness; and	Note 16. Commitments and Contingencies  Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(4) Information about (market or credit) risk concentrations with respect to credit risk mitigation.	
	Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management - Risk Management - Credit risk - Risk Management - Market risk - Lending Activities - Critical Accounting Policies - Derivative Assets and Liabilities Item 1A - Risk Factors - Credit Risks Item 1A - Risk Factors - Market Risks

Disclosure Parviroment	Dicologues Location
Disclosure Requirement  Quantitative:	Disclosure Location
(b) For each separately disclosed credit risk portfolio, the total exposure that is covered by eligible financial collateral, and after the application of haircuts.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 7-1
(c) For each separately disclosed portfolio, the total exposure that is covered by guarantees/credit derivatives and the risk-weighted asset amount associated with that exposure.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Credit Risk Mitigation
Table 8 - Securitization	
Qualitative:	
(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to a securitization (including synthetic securitizations), including a discussion of:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Securitizations
(1) The FDIC-supervised institution's objectives for securitizing assets, including the extent to which these activities transfer credit risk of the underlying exposures away from the FDIC-supervised institution to other entities and including the type of risks assumed and retained with resecuritization activity;	Form 10-K: Note 1. Basis of Presentation Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management
(2) The nature of the risks (e.g. liquidity risk) inherent in the securitized assets;	
(3) The roles played by the FDIC-supervised institution in the securitization process and an indication of the extent of the FDIC-supervised institution's involvement in each of them;	
(4) The processes in place to monitor changes in the credit and market risk of securitization exposures including how those processes differ for resecuritization exposures;	
(5) The FDIC-supervised institution's policy for mitigating the credit risk retained through securitization and resecuritization exposures; and	
(6) The risk-based capital approaches that the FDIC-supervised institution follows for its securitization exposures including the type of securitization exposure to which each approach applies.	
(b) A list of:	Form 10-K:
(1) The type of securitization SPEs that the FDIC-supervised institution, as sponsor, uses to securitize third-party exposures. The FDIC-supervised institution must indicate whether it has exposure to these SPEs, either on- or off-balance sheet; and	Note 1. Basis of Presentation Note 8. Loan Servicing Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(2) Affiliated entities:	
(i) That the FDIC-supervised institution manages or advises; and	
(ii) That invest either in the securitization exposures that the FDIC-supervised institution has securitized or in securitization SPEs that the FDIC- supervised institution sponsors.	
(c) Summary of the FDIC-supervised institution's accounting policies for securitization activities, including:	Form 10-K: Note 1. Basis of Presentation
(1) Whether the transactions are treated as sales or financings;	Note 8. Loan Servicing Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(2) Recognition of gain-on-sale;	
<ol> <li>Methods and key assumptions applied in valuing retained or purchased interests;</li> </ol>	
(4) Changes in methods and key assumptions from the previous period for valuing retained interests and impact of the changes;	
(5) Treatment of synthetic securitizations;	
(6) How exposures intended to be securitized are valued and whether they are recorded under subpart D of this part; and	
(7) Policies for recognizing liabilities on the balance sheet for arrangements that could require the FDIC-supervised institution to provide financial support for securitized assets.	
(d) An explanation of significant changes to any quantitative information since the last reporting period.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Securitizations

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
Quantitative:	
(e) The total outstanding exposures securitized by the FDIC-supervised institution in securitizations that meet the operational criteria provided in § 324.41 (categorized into traditional and synthetic securitizations), by exposure type, separately for securitizations of third-party exposures for which the FDIC-supervised institution acts only as sponsor.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Securitizations
(f) For exposures securitized by the FDIC-supervised institution in securitizations that meet the operational criteria in § 324.41:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Securitizations
<ol> <li>Amount of securitized assets that are impaired/past due categorized by exposure type; and</li> </ol>	
(2) Losses recognized by the FDIC-supervised institution during the current period categorized by exposure type.	
(g) The total amount of outstanding exposures intended to be securitized categorized by exposure type.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Securitizations
(h) Aggregate amount of:  (1) On-balance sheet securitization exposures retained or purchased categorized by exposure type; and  (2) Off balance beat a puritient in a procure a standing by exposure type.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 8-1
(2) Off-balance sheet securitization exposures categorized by exposure type.	
<ul> <li>(i) Aggregate amount of securitization exposures retained or purchased and the associated capital requirements for these exposures, categorized between securitization and resecuritization exposures, further categorized into a meaningful number of risk weight bands and by risk-based capital approach (e.g., SSFA); and</li> </ul>	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 8-1 Table 8-2 Truist does not have any securitization exposures that have been deducted from capital.
(2) Exposures that have been deducted entirely from tier 1 capital, CEIOs deducted from total capital (as described in § 324.42(a)(1)), and other exposures deducted from total capital should be disclosed separately by exposure type.	·
(j) Summary of current year's securitization activity, including the amount of exposures securitized (by exposure type), and recognized gain or loss on sale by exposure type.	Form 10-K: Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management Note 1. Basis of Presentation Note 8. Loan Servicing Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(k) Aggregate amount of resecuritization exposures retained or purchased categorized according to:	Form 10-K: Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management
(1) Exposures to which credit risk mitigation is applied and those not applied; and	Note 1. Basis of Presentation Note 8. Loan Servicing Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
(2) Exposures to guarantors categorized according to guarantor creditworthiness categories or guarantor name.	
Table 9 - Equities Not Subject to Subpart F of This Part	
Qualitative:	
(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement with respect to equity risk for equities not subject to subpart F of this part, including:	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule
(1) Differentiation between holdings on which capital gains are expected and those taken under other objectives including for relationship and strategic reasons; and	Form 10-K: Note 1. Basis of Presentation
(2) Discussion of important policies covering the valuation of and accounting for equity holdings not subject to subpart F of this part. This includes the accounting techniques and valuation methodologies used, including key assumptions and practices affecting valuation as well as significant changes in these practices.	Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management
Quantitative:	1

Disclosure Requirement	Disclosure Location
(b) Value disclosed on the balance sheet of investments, as well as the fair value of those investments; for securities that are publicly traded, a comparison to publicly-quoted share values where the share price is materially different from fair value.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 9-1  The values on the balance sheet are not materially different than the fair values of the investments. Publicly-quoted share values are not materially different from their fair values.
(c) The types and nature of investments, including the amount that is:     (1) Publicly traded; and     (2) Non publicly traded.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 9-1
(d) The cumulative realized gains (losses) arising from sales and liquidations in the reporting period.	Form 10-K: Note 18. Fair Value Disclosures
<ul><li>(e) (1) Total unrealized gains (losses).</li><li>(2) Total latent revaluation gains (losses).</li><li>(3) Any amounts of the above included in tier 1 or tier 2 capital.</li></ul>	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Equity Securities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule
(f) Capital requirements categorized by appropriate equity groupings, consistent with the FDIC-supervised institution's methodology, as well as the aggregate amounts and the type of equity investments subject to any supervisory transition regarding regulatory capital requirements.	Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures: Table 9-1
Table 10 - Interest Rate Risk For Non-Trading Activities	
Qualitative:	
(a) The general qualitative disclosure requirement, including the nature of interest rate risk for non-trading activities and key assumptions, including assumptions regarding loan prepayments and behavior of non-maturity deposits, and frequency of measurement of interest rate risk for non-trading activities.	Form 10-K: Item 7 - MD&A - Risk Management Item 7 - MD&A - Interest Rate Market Risk (Other than Trading)
Quantitative:	
(b) The increase (decline) in earnings or economic value (or relevant measure used by management) for upward and downward rate shocks according to management's method for measuring interest rate risk for non-trading activities, categorized by currency (as appropriate).	Form 10-K: Item 7 - MD&A - Table 26 Item 7 - MD&A - Table 27

Truist Financial Corporation December 31, 2019

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This document contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, regarding the financial condition, results of operations, business plans and the future performance of Truist. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "forecasts," "intends," "plans," "projects," "may," "will," "should," "could" and other similar expressions are intended to identify these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are not based on historical facts but instead represent management's expectations and assumptions regarding Truist's business, the economy and other future conditions. Such statements involve inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. As such, Truist's actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements. While there can be no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete, important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements include the following, without limitation, as well as the risks and uncertainties more fully discussed under Item 1A-Risk Factors:

- risks and uncertainties relating to the Merger, including the ability to successfully integrate the companies or to realize the anticipated benefits of the Merger;
- expenses relating to the Merger and integration of heritage BB&T and heritage SunTrust;
- deposit attrition, client loss or revenue loss following completed mergers or acquisitions may be greater than anticipated;
- changes in the interest rate environment, including the replacement of LIBOR as an interest rate benchmark, which could adversely affect Truist's revenue and expenses, the value of assets and obligations, and the availability and cost of capital, cash flows, and liquidity;
- volatility in mortgage production and servicing revenues, and changes in carrying values of Truist's servicing assets and mortgages held for sale due to changes in interest rates:
- · management's ability to effectively manage credit risk;
- · inability to access short-term funding or liquidity;
- loss of client deposits, which could increase Truist's funding costs;
- changes in Truist's credit ratings, which could increase the cost of funding or limit access to capital markets;
- · additional capital and liquidity requirements that will result from the Merger;
- regulatory matters, litigation or other legal actions, which may result in, among other things, costs, fines, penalties, restrictions on Truist's business activities, reputational harm, or other adverse consequences;
- risks related to originating and selling mortgages, including repurchase and indemnity demands from purchasers related to representations and warranties on loans sold, which could result in an increase in the amount of losses for loan repurchases;
- failure to execute on strategic or operational plans, including the ability to successfully complete and/or integrate mergers and acquisitions;
- risks relating to Truist's role as a servicer of loans, including an increase in the scope or costs of the services Truist is required to perform without any corresponding increase in Truist's servicing fee, or a breach of Truist's obligations as servicer;
- · negative public opinion, which could damage Truist's reputation;
- increased scrutiny regarding Truist's consumer sales practices, training practices, incentive compensation design and governance;
- competition from new or existing competitors, including increased competition from products and services offered by non-bank financial technology companies, may reduce Truist's client base, cause Truist to lower prices for its products and services in order to maintain market share or otherwise adversely impact Truist's businesses or results of operations:
- Truist's ability to introduce new products and services in response to industry trends or developments in technology that achieve market acceptance and regulatory approval;
- Truist's success depends on the expertise of key personnel, and if these individuals leave or change their roles without effective replacements Truist's operations and
  integration activities could be adversely impacted. This could be exacerbated as Truist continues to integrate the management teams of heritage BB&T and heritage
  SunTrust, or if the organization is unable to hire and retain qualified personnel;
- legislative, regulatory or accounting changes may adversely affect the businesses in which Truist is engaged;
- evolving regulatory standards, including with respect to capital and liquidity requirements, and results of regulatory examinations, may adversely affect Truist's financial condition and results of operations;
- accounting policies and processes require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain;
- general economic or business conditions, either nationally or regionally, may be less favorable than expected, resulting in, among other things, slower deposit or asset growth, a deterioration in credit quality or a reduced demand for credit, insurance or other services;
- risk management measures and management oversight functions may not identify or address risks adequately;
- unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings or other claims or regulatory or other governmental investigations or inquiries could result in negative publicity, protests, fines, penalties, restrictions on Truist's operations or ability to expand its business or other negative consequences, all of which could cause reputational damage and adversely impact Truist's financial condition and results of operations;
- competitors of Truist may have greater financial resources or develop products that enable them to compete more successfully than Truist and may be subject to different regulatory standards than Truist;
- failure to maintain or enhance Truist's competitive position with respect to technology, whether it fails to anticipate client expectations or because its technological developments fail to perform as desired or are not rolled out in a timely manner or for other reasons, may cause Truist to lose market share or incur additional expense;
- fraud or misconduct by internal or external parties, which Truist may not be able to prevent, detect or mitigate;
- operational or communications systems, including systems used by vendors or other external parties, may fail or may be the subject of a breach or cyber-attack that, if successful, could adversely impact Truist's financial condition and results of operations;
- security risks, including denial of service attacks, hacking, social engineering attacks targeting Truist's employees and clients, malware intrusion or data corruption attempts, and identity theft could result in the disclosure of confidential information, adversely affect Truist's business or reputation or create significant legal or financial exposure;
- natural or other disasters, including acts of terrorism and pandemics, could have an adverse effect on Truist, including a material disruption of Truist's operations or the ability or willingness of clients to access Truist's products and services;
- widespread system outages, caused by the failure of critical internal systems or critical services provided by third parties could adversely impact Truist's financial condition and results of operations; and
- depressed market values for Truist's stock and adverse economic conditions sustained over a period of time may require a write down to goodwill.